



Sh. Jasbir Singh,
Guru Nanak Nagar,
Village Bholapur Jhabewal,
P.O Ramgarh, Distt Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DC,
Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DC,
Faridkot.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3258 of 2020

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Ms.Taranit Kaur,Sr.Assistant for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 10.08.2020 has sought information regarding details of cases under Food Safety Standard Act 2006 from Jan.2019 to Dec.2019 – penalty imposed along with amount of penalty – cases filed by Food Safety or Health department from Dec.2019 till date and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of DC Faridkot. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First appellate Authority on 04.09.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case last came up for hearing on 31.03.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. As per respondent, the information had already been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 22.09.2020.

The Commission received a copy of letter from the PIO on 10.02.2021 vide which the PIO informed that the information has already been sent to the appellant on 22.09.2020. The PIO further mentioned in the said letter that 92 cases have been decided and consigned to the record room, whereas 51 cases are pending for which the information cannot be provided. Further if the appellant wants information in settled cases, he may get the same from the concerned Suvidha Kendra.

The appellant was absent and vide email informed that the PIO has not supplied complete information regarding point-3. As per respondent, the information was in the custody of DRO. The DRO O/o DC Faridkot was impleaded in the case and directed to make the record available for the appellant to inspect and provide relevant information relating to point-3.

Hearing dated 16.06.2021:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC, Faridkot. As per respondent, the information has been supplied to the appellant. The appellant is absent and vide email has informed about receiving the information.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 16.06.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Rajinder Kumar, S/o Sh. Mehar Chand,
Ward NO-2, Supreme Enclave,
Near Vishvkarma Bhawan, Link Road,
Mansa.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director,
Local Govt, Sector-35-A,
Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director,
Local Govt, Sector-35-A,
Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2864 of 2020

Present: Sh.Rajinder Kumar as the Appellant
Sh.Jung Bahadur for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 06.07.2020 has sought information regarding a copy of the document vide which resolution No.373 dated 17.01.2020 was cancelled and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Director Local Govt. Punjab Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 07.08.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case last came up for hearing on 03.03.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Mansa. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent was absent. The PIO was directed to provide the information within 15 days of the receipt of this order and send a compliance report to the Commission. Failure to comply would attract proceedings under section 20 of the RTI Act.

Hearing dated 16.06.2021:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Mansa. As per the respondent, the information has been provided to the appellant.

The appellant stated that he has received the information but the same is not certified.

The PIO is directed to resend a certified copy of the information to the appellant.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 16.06.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Rajinder Kumar, S/o Sh. Mehar Chand,
Ward NO-2, Supreme Enclave,
Near Vishvkarma Bhawan, Link Road,
Mansa.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director,
Local Govt, Sector-35-A,
Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director,
Local Govt, Sector-35-A,
Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2863 of 2020

Present: Sh.Rajinder Kumar as the Appellant
Sh.Jung Bahadur for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through the RTI application dated 15.06.2020 has sought information regarding action taken by the director on a resolution passed in MC general meeting on 14.12.2017 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Director Local Govt. Punjab, Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 27.07.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case last came up for hearing on 03.03.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Mansa. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent was absent. The PIO was directed to provide the information within 15 days of the receipt of this order and send a compliance report to the Commission. Failure to comply would attract proceedings under section 20 of the RTI Act.

Hearing dated 16.06.2021:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Mansa. As per the respondent, the information has been provided to the appellant.

The appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 16.06.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Rajinder Kumar, S/o Sh. Mehar Chand,
Ward NO-2, Supreme Enclave,
Near Vishvkarma Bhawan, Link Road,
Mansa.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director,
Local Govt, Sector-35-A,
Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director,
Local Govt, Sector-35-A,
Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2862 of 2020

Present: Sh.Rajinder Kumar as the Appellant
Sh.Jung Bahadur for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 08.06.2020 has sought information regarding a copy of corrigendum No.05/49 dated 19.07.2005 – a copy of letter No.0245 dated 03.07.2015 – letter No.24077-24217 dated 03.07.2005 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Director Local Govt. Punjab, Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information since the appellant did not attach ID proof after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 13.07.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case last came up for hearing on 03.03.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Mansa. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent was absent. The appellant was directed to send ID proof to the PIO. The PIO was directed to provide the information within 15 days of the receipt of this order and send a compliance report to the Commission. Failure to comply would attract proceedings under section 20 of the RTI Act.

Hearing dated 16.06.2021:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Mansa. As per the respondent, the information has been supplied to the appellant via letter dated 12.03.2021. As per the appellant, the information on point-1 has not been provided.

The PIO is directed to sort out the discrepancies in point-1 and provide the information to the appellant. If the information is not available, to give in writing on an affidavit that the information that has been provided is true, complete and no other information is available in the record.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 16.06.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh.Satish Kumar,
Ward No-10, Near Nehru Park,
Jaito, Distt Faridkot.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DC,
Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DC,
Faridkot.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2830 of 2020

Present: None for the Appellant
Mrs.Kanta Rani, Superintendent O/o DC Faridkot for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 26.06.2020 has sought information regarding a copy of letters filed by Harish Chander s/o Babu Ram of Jaito from Jan.2015 to April 2018 along with a copy of the statement and action taken report and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of DC Faridkot. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 25.07.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case last came up for hearing on 08.03.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. Both the parties were absent. The Commission received a letter from the APIO Office of DC Faridkot on 06.01.2021 stating that the information has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 15.10.2020.

The appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies if any to the PIO with a copy to the Commission and the PIO was directed to remove the discrepancies.

Hearing dated 16.06.2021:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. The appellant is absent and vide email has informed that the PIO has only supplied the copies of applications but has not supplied the enquiry report and statement of witnesses.

The appellant is absent on two occasions. He has preferred the method of sending emails and not appearing, which is detrimental to the proceedings of the case, especially when discrepancies have to be discussed. No reasonable reason has also been provided for the continuous absence.

The respondent claims that they have supplied all the information and no further information is available with them.

The PIO is directed to give in writing an affidavit that the information that has been provided is true, complete and no other information is available in their record relating to this RTI application. The affidavit be provided within 10 days of the receipt of the order with a copy to the Commission.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated 16.06.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner